arXiv:1704.05354v2 [math.RT] 25 Apr 2017

Cocycle deformations and Galois objects of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16

Rongchuan Xiong*
Department of Mathematics, SKLPMMP,
East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

Zhiqiang Yu†
Department of Mathematics, SKLPMMP,
East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

Abstract

In this paper, we determine the cocycle deformations and Galois objects for non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras of dimension 16. We show that these Hopf algebras are pairwise twist inequivalent by calculating the higher Frobenius-Schur indicators, and that except three Hopf algebras which are cocycle deformations of dual group algebras, none of these Hopf algebras admit non-trivial cocycle deformations, though all of them have more than one Galois objects.

Keywords: semisimple Hopf algebra; Galois object; cocycle deformation; Frobenius-Schur indicators.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we always assume k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $k^* = k\backslash\{0\}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the integer set, $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the non-negative integer set, and $\mathbb{Z}_n := \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. For a Hopf algebra H, $\mathrm{Rep}\,(H)$ denotes the category of its finite dimensional representations, $\mathrm{Corep}\,(H)$ denotes the category of finite dimensional H-comudules.

In 1987, Ulbrich [Ul] showed that for any finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H, isomorphism classes of right Galois objects of H^* are in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of fiber functors on the finite tensor category $\mathrm{Rep}\,(H)$. In general, it's very hard to find all fiber functors of arbitrary Hopf algebras.

With the development of tensor categories theory, especially fusion category theory [O1, ENO1, ENO2, EGNO], we can instead study the

*Email: rcxiong@foxmail.com
†Corresponding author; email: zhiqyu-math@hotmail.com

rank one module categories, since module categories of rank one over a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ correspond to fiber functions on $\mathcal{C}$.

In 2003, Ostrik [O1]gave a complete and abstract description of the exact indecomposable module categories $\mathcal{M}$ over a finite tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, that is, $\mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{C}_A$ of right A-modules in $\mathcal{C}$ for some exact indecomposable algebra A in $\mathcal{C}$. In particular, Ostrik [O2] classified the indecomposable module categories over any group-theoretical fusion category except for a wrong criteria for equivalent class, and recently a complete classification is given by Natale[Na2]. As a byproduct, the fiber functors on a group-theoretical fusion category were determined in [O2, Corolllary 3.4][Na2, Theorem 1.1].

Natale [Na1] showed that semisimple Hopf algebras H obtained by abelian extensions are group-theoretical, and also characterized explicitly the categories $\mathrm{Rep}\,(H)$. Based on the fact the non-commutative and non-cocommutative semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 [Ma1] and of dimension p^2q [ENO2] for p, q distinct prime numbers can be obtained by abelian extensions and whence are group-theoretical, the authors in [CMNVW] studied the Galois objects and cocycle deformations of these semisimple Hopf algebras.

The present paper is in some sense a sequel to [O2, Na2, Ma2, CMNVW]. We mainly study non-commutative non-cocomutative semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16 which were classified by Kashina [Ka]. These Hopf algebras are obtained by abelian extensions and whence are group-theoretical. Or by [DrGNO], integral fusion categories with prime power dimension are group-theoretical. Thus it seems possible to determine the Galois objects of these classes of Hopf algebras.

Theorem A (Theorem 3.3)**.** *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension* 16 *listed in [Ka, Tabel 1], then the number of Galois objects of H^* is given as follows:*

(1). There are two Galois objects for $(H_{a:1})^*$, $(H_{b:1})^* \cong H_{d:1,-1}$, $(H_{c:\sigma_0})^* \cong H_{c:\sigma_0}$, $(H_{c:\sigma_1})^* \cong H_{d:-1,-1}$, $(H_E)^* \cong H_{B:X}$, $(H_{B:1})^* \cong H_{C:1}$, $(H_{B:X})^* \cong H_E$, $(H_{C:1})^* \cong H_{B:1}$, $(H_{C:\sigma_1})^* \cong H_{C:\sigma_1}$.

(2). There are five Galois objects for $(H_{a:y})^*$.

(3). There are eight Galois objects for $(H_{d:1,1})^* \cong H_{d:1,1}$, $(H_{d:-1,1})^* \cong H_{d:-1,1}$, $(H_{d:1,-1})^* \cong H_{b:1}$, $(H_{d:-1,-1})^* \cong H_{c:\sigma_1}$.

(4). There are ten Galois objects for $(H_{b:y})^*$, $(H_{b:x^2y})^*$.

If A is a right H-Galois object, then there exists a unique up to isomorphism Hopf algebra $L(A,H) := (A^{op} \otimes A)^{coH}$ such that A becomes an $(L(A,H),H)$-biGalois object[S1]. Moreover, the connection between the cocycle deformations of Hopf algebras and Galois objects has been established by Schauenburg[S1]. Thus it is of interest to determine all cocycle deformations, or equivalently to determine all Drinfeld twists.

To determine the Drinfeld twist equivalence classes of semisimple (or generally quasi-)Hopf algebras is not easy again. There is a useful tool: the Frobenius-Schur indicator, which is invariant under Drinfeld twists[MN, NS1]. For Hopf algebras, it was first introduced by Linchenko and Montogmery [LM], and then studied extensively by Kashina, Sommerhäuer and Zhu [KSZ] for semisimple Hopf algebras, and for quasi-Hopf algebras

by Mason and Ng[MN], Ng and Schauenburg [NS1], and for spherical fusion categories [NS2].

By using the powerful Frobenius-Schur indicators, we have our main result on cocycle deformations:

Theorem B (Theorem 4.9)**.** *The Hopf algebras $H_{B:1}$, $H_{B:X}$, $H_{d:1,1}$ have exactly one non-trivial cocycle deformation, and other non-commutative and non-commutative Hopf algebras listed in [Ka, Table 1] admit only trivial cocycle deformation.*

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic notations and properties of group-theoretical fusion category, Galois objects, abelian extensions and Frobenius-Schur indicators. In section 3 , we compute all matched pairs of groups corresponding to each semisimple Hopf algebras classified by Kashina[Ka], and then find all possible subgroups which determine fiber functors. In section 4, we mainly use the Frobenius-Schur indicators to find all the Drinfeld twist equivalence classes, or equivalently to determine all the non-trivial cocycle deformations.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic knowledge for the reader's interest, like group-theoretical fusion categories and their module categories [O1, O2, ENO1, EGNO], Galois objects and cocycle deformations[S1], higher Frobenius-Schur indicators[LM, KSZ, NS1], abelian extension of Hopf algebras[K, Ma3, Na1].

2.1 Group-theoretical fusion categories

In this subsection, we mainly introduce some notations and recall some basic knowledge of group-theoretical fusion categories and their module categories. For more details, we refer to [O1, ENO1, EGNO, CMNVW] and references therein.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category over k, $\mathcal{M}$ be a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category, $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M})$ be the tensor category of endofuntors of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the fusion category of $\mathcal{C}$-module endofunctors of $\mathcal{M}$. The rank of $\mathcal{M}$ is the number of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{M}$. Recall that there is a bijective correspondence between structures of a $\mathcal{C}$-module category on $\mathcal{M}$ and monoidal functors $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M})$, hence $\mathcal{C}$-module categorise $\mathcal{M}$ of rank one correspond to fiber functors $F : \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Vec} \cong \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{M})$.

A fusion category is pointed if all the simple objects have Frobenius-Perron dimension 1, or equivalently, all simple objects are invertible, therefore the simple objects form a finite group. Any pointed fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a finite group G-graded vector space category Vec^{ω}_{G} with associator isomorphism given by a normalized 3-cocycle $\omega : G \times G \times G \to k^*$.

Two fusion categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is categorical Morita equivalent if there exists an indecomposable module category $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \cong \mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ as fusion categories. Here indecomposable means that $\mathcal{M}$ can't be a direct sum of two non-trivial $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

Definition 2.1. *A fusion category is said to group-theoretical if it is categorically Morita equivalent to a pointed fusion category* Vec_G^ω.

In [O2], all the indecomposable module categories of group-theoretical fusion category is classified.

Every exact indecomposable module category over the fusion category Vec_G^ω is determined by a pair (F, α), where $F \leq G$ is a subgroup such that the class of $\omega|_{F\times F\times F}$ is trivial in $H^3(F, k^*)$ and $\alpha : F \times F \to k^*$ is a 2-cochain on F satisfying $d\alpha = \omega|_{F\times F\times F}$; Here we denote by $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, F, \alpha)$ the corresponding group-theoretical fusion category. Every indecomposable module category over $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, F, \alpha)$ is determined by a pair (L, β), where $L \leq G$ is a subgroup, and β is a 2-cochain on L such that $d\beta = \omega|_{L\times L\times L}$.

A 2-cocycle $\alpha \in H^2(G, k^*)$ is said to be non-degenerate if the twisted group algebra $k_\alpha G$ is a simple matrix algebra. As stated before, a fiber functor Φ on a finite tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ is exactly a rank one module category $\mathcal{M}$, thus Ostrik[O2] and Natale[Na2] obtained the following result, which plays a key role in our study.

Theorem 2.2 ([O2],[Na2])**.** *Fiber functors on* $\mathcal{C}(G, \omega, F, \alpha)$ *correspond to pairs* (L, β)*, where* L *is a subgroup of* G *and* β *is a* 2*-cocycle on* L*, such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *The class of* $\omega|_{L\times L\times L}$ *is trivial;*
2. $G = LF$*; and*
3. *The class of the* 2*-cocycle* $\alpha|_{F\cap L}\beta^{-1}|_{L\cap F}$ *is non-degenerate.*

Two fiber functors $(L, \beta), (L', \beta')$ *are isomorphic iff there exists an element* $g \in G$ *such that* $L' = gLg^{-1}$*, and the cohomology class of the two cocycle* ${\beta'}^{-1}\beta^g\Omega_g$ *is trivial in* $H^2(L, k^*)$*, where* $\beta^g(h, l) := \beta(ghg^{-1}, glg^{-1})$*for* $h, l \in L$ *and* $\Omega_g(a, b) := \frac{\omega(gag^{-1},gbg^{-1},g)\omega(g,a,b)}{\omega(gag^{-1},g,b)}$ *for* $a, b \in G$.

2.2 Cocycle deformations and twist deformation

Definition 2.3 ([EV])**.** *A twisting* H_Ω *of Hopf algebra* H *is a Hopf algebra with the same algebra structure and counit, with coproduct and antipode given by*

$$\Delta_\Omega(h) = \Omega\Delta(h)\Omega^{-1}, \quad S_\Omega(h) = uhu^{-1}.$$

for $h \in H$*, where* $u \in H$*,* $\Omega \in H \otimes H$ *are invertible elements.*

H_Ω is well-defined iff $\Omega \in H \otimes H$ is a 2-pseudo-cocycle, that is, $\partial_2(\Omega)$ lies in the centralizer of $(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta(H)$ in $H \otimes H \otimes H$, where

$$\partial_2(\Omega) := (id \otimes \Delta)(\Omega^{-1})(1 \otimes \Omega^{-1})(\Omega \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)(\Omega)$$

Ω is a 2-cocycle iff $\partial_2(\Omega) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1, (\varepsilon \otimes id)\Omega = (id \otimes \varepsilon)\Omega = 1$. In this case we call Ω a Drinfeld twist and denote it by J and $H^J := H_\Omega$ is a Drinfeld twist of H. The Hopf algebras H, H' are called *twist equivalent* if $H' \cong H^J$. In fact, finite-dimensional Hopf algebras H and $H^{'}$ are twist equivalent if and only if $\mathrm{Rep}\,(H) \cong \mathrm{Rep}\,(H')$ as tensor categories [S1].

In particular, properties like semisimplicity or the structure of the Grothendieck ring are preserved under twisting deformations. Moreover,

Theorem 2.4 ([Ni]). *The Grothendieck rings of two semisimple Hopf algebras H, L are isomorphic as fusion rings iff H is a twisting of L.*

Now we introduce a dual concept of Drinfeld twist. Let H be a Hopf algebra. A Hopf 2-cocycle $\sigma : H \otimes H \to k$ is a convolution invertible morphism satisfying, for $\forall x, y, z \in H$,

$$\sigma(x, 1) = \epsilon(x) = \sigma(1, x),$$
$$\sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})\sigma(x_{(2)}y_{(2)}, z) = \sigma(y_{(1)}, z_{(1)})\sigma(x, y_{(2)}z_{(2)}).$$

The Hopf 2-cocycle deformation $H^\sigma = H$ as k-coalgebras, with multiplication and antipode given by following formulas

$$x \cdot^\sigma y = \sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})x_{(2)}y_{(2)}\sigma^{-1}(x_{(3)}, y_{(3)}),$$
$$S_\sigma(x) = \sum \sigma(x_{(1)}, S(x_{(2)}))S(x_{(3)})\sigma^{-1}(S(x_{(4)}), x_{(5)}),$$

for $x, y \in H$.

If we have Hopf algebras isomorphism $H^\sigma \cong H$, then the Hopf 2-cocycle deformation H^σ of H is said to be trivial. Two Hopf algebras H, H' are *Morita-Takeuchi equivalent* (or *monoidally Morita equivalent*) if the finite-dimensional comodule categories Corep (H') and Corep (H) are equivalent as tensor categories.

Remark 2.5 ([S1]). *Given a Hopf 2-cocycle $\sigma : H \otimes H \to k$, there exists an algebra H_σ with associate product given by:*

$$x \cdot_\sigma y = \sigma(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)})x_{(2)}y_{(2)}, \quad x, y \in H$$

Moreover, $\Delta : H_\sigma \to H_\sigma \otimes H$ is an algebra map and H_σ becomes a right H-comodule algebra and $(H_\sigma)^{co\,H} = k$.

2.3 Hopf Galois objects

Definition 2.6. *Let H, L be Hopf algebras over k, a right H-Hopf comodule algebra A is a right H-Galois object if $A^{coH} = k$ and the Hopf-Galois map $\beta : A \otimes A \to A \otimes H$, $\beta(a \otimes b) = ab_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}$, is bijective. A left L-Galois object is defined analogously. An (L, H)-biGalois object A is a non-zero right H-Galois object and a left L-Galois object, and the left L-comodule and right H-comodule morphisms are compatible.*

Given a right H-Galois object A, there is a Hopf algebra $L := L(A, H)$ attached to the pair (A, H) such that A becomes an (L, H)-biGalois object [S1]. The Hopf algebra $L(A, H)$ is unique up to isomorphism. A right H-Galois object A is trivial if $A = H$ as right H-comodule algebras. In this special case, $L(A, H) \cong H$ as Hopf algebras.

Remark 2.7. *If $\sigma : H \otimes H \to k$ is a Hopf 2-cocycle, then the algebra H_σ is an (H^σ, H)-biGalois object.*

Theorem 2.8 ([S1]). *Finite-dimensional Hopf algebas H, L are Morita-Takeuchi equivalent iff H is a cocycle deformation of L iff there exists a (H, L)-biGalois objects A.*

Proposition 2.9 ([Ul]). *For finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H, there is a bijective correspondence between the following set:*

1. *The set of right Galois objects of H^*;*
2. *The set of fiber functors on Rep(H).*

2.4 Higher Frobenius-Schur indicators

Definition 2.10 ([LM, KSZ]). *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra, $\Lambda \in H$ be the integral, V be any finite dimensional representation of H, and χ be its character. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the n-th Frobenius-Schur indicator $\nu_n(\chi)$ of V equals to $\chi(\Lambda^{[n]})$, where $\Lambda^{[n]} := \Lambda_{(1)} \cdots \Lambda_{(n)}$ (and define $\Lambda^{[0]} := 1$) is the n-th Sweedler power of the integral element.*

Remark 2.11. *In fact, as stated in the introduction, the Frobenius-Schur indicator is well-defined for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras and for spherical fusion categories, see [NS1, NS2]. Here we do not need these definitions.*

Theorem 2.12 ([LM, KSZ]). *Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra and V be a simple H-module with character χ, we have*

$$\nu_2(\chi) = \begin{cases} 0, & V \ncong V^*; \\ 1, & V \cong V^*, \text{ and } V \text{ admits a symmetric } H\text{-bilinear form;} \\ -1, & V \cong V^*, \text{ and } V \text{ admits a skew symmetric } H\text{-bilinear form.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.13. *For an abelian extension of Hopf algebras: $k \to k^\Gamma \to H \to k[F] \to k$, the integral element Λ of H is given by*

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{\mid F \mid} \sum_{g \in F} \delta_1 \# g$$

where δ_1 is the orthogonal primitive idempotent satisfying $\langle \delta_1, g \rangle = \delta_{1,g}$, for any $g \in \Gamma$.

The Frobenius-Shur indicator is a gauge invariant and thus we can use it to distinguish whether two semisimple Hopf algebras are twist inequivalent.

Theorem 2.14 ([MN, NS1]). *The Frobenius-Schur indicators are invariant under Drinfeld twist deformations of semisimple (quasi)-Hopf algebras.*

2.5 Abelian extension of Hopf algebras

In this subsection, we mainly introduce some notations and basic knowledge of abelian extensions of Hopf algebras. For more details, we refer to [K, Ma3, Na1] and the references therein.

Let F, Γ be finite groups, a *matched pair* $(F, \Gamma, \triangleleft, \triangleright)$ consists of two actions satisfy the following compatible conditions: $\forall s, t \in \Gamma$, $\forall x, y \in F$,

$$s \triangleright (xy) = (s \triangleright x)((s \triangleleft x) \triangleright y),$$
$$(st) \triangleleft x = (s \triangleleft (t \triangleright x))(t \triangleleft x).$$

Let (F, Γ) be a matched pair of groups. There exists a unique group structure, denoted by $F \bowtie \Gamma$, on the set-theoretic product $F \times \Gamma$ with unit $(1, 1)$ such that

$$(x \bowtie s)(y \bowtie t) = x(s \triangleright y) \bowtie (s \triangleleft y)t$$

for $x, y \in F$, $s, t \in \Gamma$. This group structure is called the bicrossed product of F and Γ. Obviously, $F \times 1$ and $1 \times \Gamma$ are subgroups of $F \bowtie \Gamma$, and isomorphic to F and Γ respectively.

If we do not distinguish the subgroups $F \times 1$, $1 \times \Gamma$ from F , Γ, then group $F \bowtie \Gamma$ admits an *exact factorization* $F \bowtie \Gamma = F\Gamma$. Conversely, for every group G admitting an exact factorization $G = F'\Gamma'$, where $F' \cong F$ and $\Gamma' \cong \Gamma$ as groups, then every element $g \in G$ can be written uniquely as xs, where $x \in F,\ s \in \Gamma$.

Henceforth, the group multiplication implies $(xs)(yt) = (xy')(s't)$, for unique $y' \in F,\ s' \in \Gamma$, this gives rise two actions $\lhd : \Gamma \times F \to \Gamma$, $(s, y) \mapsto s'$, and $\rhd : \Gamma \times F \to F$ $(s, y) \mapsto y'$, which make $(F, \Gamma, \lhd, \rhd)$ into a matched pair. It is easy to see, these actions are determined by the relations

$$sy = y's' = (s \rhd y)(s \lhd y), \quad y \in F, s \in \Gamma.$$

Let $(F, \Gamma, \lhd, \rhd)$ be a matched pair of groups, δ_s be the dual primitive orthogonal basis in k^Γ. Consider the left action of F on k^Γ induced by action of F on Γ, $\rightharpoonup$: $F \times k^\Gamma \to k^\Gamma$, $a \rightharpoonup e_x = e_{x \lhd a^{-1}}$ for $a \in F$, $x \in \Gamma$, and let $\sigma : F \times F \to (k^\Gamma)^*$ be a normalized 2-cocycle, that is, writing $\sigma = \sum_{g \in G} \sigma_g \delta_g$, $\forall x, y, z \in \Gamma$, we have that

$$\sigma_{s \lhd x}(y, z)\sigma_s(x, yz) = \sigma_s(xy, z)\sigma_s(x, y), \sigma_1(x, y) = \sigma_s(x, 1) = \sigma_s(1, y) = 1.$$

Dually, consider the right action of Γ on k^F induced by action of Γ on F, $\leftharpoonup$: $k^F \times \Gamma \to k^F$, $e_x \leftharpoonup a = e_{a^{-1} \rhd x}$, and let $\tau = \sum_{x \in \Gamma} \tau_x \delta_x : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to (k^F)^*$ be a normalized 2-cocycle, that is, $\forall s, t, u \in F$,

$$\tau_x(st, u)\tau_{u \rhd x}(s, t) = \tau_x(s, tu)\tau_x(t, u), \tau_1(s, t) = \tau_x(s, 1) = \tau_x(1, t) = 1,$$

Let $k^{\Gamma\tau}\#_\sigma kF$ denote the vector space $k^\Gamma \otimes kF$ with multiplication and comultiplication given by

$$(e_g \# x)(e_h \# y) = \delta_{g \lhd x, h}\sigma_g(x, y)e_g \# xy,$$
$$\Delta(e_g \# x) = \sum_{st=g} \tau_x(s, t)e_s \#(t \rhd x) \otimes e_t \# x.$$

for $g, h \in \Gamma$, $x, y \in F$. Then $H := k^{\Gamma\tau}\#_\sigma kF$ is a Hopf algebra if σ and τ obey some certain conditions, and fits into an abelian exact sequence of Hopf algebras $k \to k^\Gamma \to H \to k[F] \to k$, see [K, Na1] for details. Moreover, every Hopf algebra fitting into such an exact sequence can be describe in this way.

Given a matched pair $(F, \Gamma, \lhd, \rhd)$, the set of equivalence classes of extensions $k \to k^\Gamma \to k^{\Gamma\tau}\sharp_\sigma k[F] \to k[F] \to k$ is denoted by $Opext(k^\Gamma, k[F])$, it is a finite group under the Baer product of extension.

By the result of Kac [K], there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
&0 \to H^1(F \bowtie \Gamma, k^*) \xrightarrow{res} H^1(F, k^*) \oplus H^1(\Gamma, k^*) \\
&\to Aut(k^{\Gamma\tau}\sharp_\sigma k[F]) \to H^2(F \bowtie \Gamma, k^*) \xrightarrow{res} H^2(F, k^*) \oplus H^2(\Gamma, k^*) \\
&\to Opext(k^\Gamma, k[F]) \xrightarrow{\varpi} H^3(F \bowtie \Gamma, k^*) \xrightarrow{res} H^3(F, k^*) \oplus H^3(\Gamma, k^*) \to \cdots
\end{aligned}$$

And the element $[\tau,\sigma] \in Opext(k^\Gamma, k[F])$ is mapped under $\overline{\omega}$ onto 3-cocycle $\omega(\tau,\sigma) \in H^3(F \bowtie \Gamma, k^*)$, which is defined by: for $\forall a,b,c \in F \bowtie \Gamma$

$$\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a) \lhd \pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b) \rhd \pi(c)),$$

where $\pi : F \bowtie \Gamma \to F, (g,h) \mapsto g, \quad p : F \bowtie \Gamma \to \Gamma, (g,h) \mapsto h$ are projections.

Theorem 2.15 ([Na1]). *Let $(F,\Gamma,\lhd,\rhd)$ be a matched pair of groups. Suppose that H is a Hopf algebra fitting into an abelian extension*

$$k \to k^\Gamma \to H \to k[F] \to k$$

associated to $(F,\Gamma,\lhd,\rhd)$. Then H is group-theoretical, and $\mathrm{Rep}\, H \cong \mathcal{C}(F \bowtie \Gamma, \omega(\tau,\sigma), F, 1)$ as fusion categories.

3 Galois objects of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16

In this section, we mainly determine Galois objects of semisimple Hopf algebras listed in [Ka, Table 1]. We first compute all matched pairs of groups corresponding to semisimple Hopf algebras listed in [Ka, Table 1], and then find all possible subgroups which determine fiber functors.

It was shown in [Ka], up to isomorphism, there exist exactly 16 classes of non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras of dimension 16.

Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1]. Kashina[Ka] showed that H fits into a cocentral abelian exact sequence of Hopf algebras

$$k^\Gamma \hookrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow kF$$

where $F = k\langle t\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ and Γ is a group of order 8. Therefore $(kF, k^\Gamma, \rightharpoonup, \rho)$ form an Singer pair with a non-trivial action $\rightharpoonup$: $kF \otimes k^\Gamma \to k^\Gamma$ and a trivial coaction $\rho : kF \to kF \otimes k^\Gamma$ and H becomes a bicrossed product $k^{\Gamma\rho,\widetilde{\tau}}\#_{\rightharpoonup,\sigma}kF$ with a Singer 2-cocycle $\sigma : kF \otimes kF \to k^\Gamma$ and a dual Singer 2-cocycle $\widetilde{\tau} : kF \to k^\Gamma \otimes k^\Gamma$. For more details, we refer to [Ka, Section 3].

There is a $1-1$ correspondence between the Singer pair $(kF, k^\Gamma, \rightharpoonup, \rho)$ and matched pair $(F,\Gamma,\lhd,\rhd)$. It is given by two correspondences between action $\rhd : \Gamma \otimes F \to \Gamma$ and $\rightharpoonup$: $F \otimes k^\Gamma \to k^\Gamma$, and between action $\lhd : \Gamma \otimes F \to F$ and coaction $\rho : F \to F \otimes k^\Gamma$. In particular, in our cases, module action $\rightharpoonup$: $F \otimes k^\Gamma \to k^\Gamma$ is given by $x \rightharpoonup \delta_s = \delta_{s \lhd x^{-1}}$, and coaction $\rho : k[F] \to k[F] \otimes k^\Gamma$ is trivial which implies that $\rhd : \Gamma \otimes F \to \Gamma$ is trivial. Given a dual Singer 2-cocycle $\widetilde{\tau} : k[F] \to k^\Gamma \otimes k^\Gamma$, the corresponding cocycle $\tau : \Gamma \times \Gamma \to (k^F)^\times$, is defined by $\tau(g,h)(f) = \widetilde{\tau}(f)(g,h)$, $\forall g,h \in \Gamma, \forall f \in F$.

As stated before, there is a bijection between fiber functors on $\mathrm{Rep}\, H$ and right Galois objects of H^*. To determine the right Galois objects of semisimple Hopf algebras of H^*, it is equivalent to find out all the fiber functors on category $\mathrm{Rep}\, H$.

Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1]. Then $H \cong k^{\Gamma\rho,\widetilde{\tau}}\#_{\rightharpoonup,\sigma}kF$ for some Singer pair $(kF, k^\Gamma, \rightharpoonup, \rho)$, Singer 2-cocycle σ and dual Singer 2-cocycle $\widetilde{\tau}$. Then we describe explicitly matched pairs of groups $(F, \Gamma, \triangleright, \triangleleft)$, $[\sigma, \tau] \in \mathrm{Opext}(k^\Gamma, kF)$ and associated 3-cocycle $\omega_{\sigma,\tau}$. We do this case by case, strictly following the classification by Kashina.

Case I: $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle, F = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle t\rangle$.

Let $\{e_{p,q}\}_{0\le p\le 3, 0\le q\le 1}$ be the dual basis in k^Γ, that is $\langle e_{p,q}, x^iy^j\rangle = \delta_{p,i}\delta_{q,j}$. In such a case, $\tau_{t^s}(x^iy^j, x^ky^l) = (-1)^{jks}$. Indeed, $\tau(x^ry^s, x^ky^l)(t) = \widetilde{\tau}(t)(x^ry^s, x^ky^l) = \sum_{ijpq}(-1)^{jp}\langle e_{i,j}\otimes e_{p,q}, x^ry^s\otimes x^ky^l\rangle = (-1)^{sk}$.

Case (a): $t \rightharpoonup e_{i,j} = e_{i+2j,j}$, $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{kp+lq}e_{p,q} = x^{2k}y^l$. In this case, the Singer pair forms the Hopf algebras $H_{k,l}$.

1. $H_{a:1} = H_{0,0}$.
   $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q} e_{p,q}$, so $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r, t^s) = 1$.
   Take $a = t^qx^iy^j, b = t^rx^ky^l, c = t^sx^my^n$, we have
   $$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\triangleleft\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\triangleright\pi(c))\\ &= \tau(x^i(y^j\triangleleft t^r), x^ky^l)(t^s)\\ &= \tau(x^{i+2rj}y^j, x^ky^l)(t^s)\\ &= (-1)^{\delta_{j,1}\delta_{s,1}k} = (-1)^{jks}.\end{aligned}$$
2. $H_{a:y} = H_{0,1}$ with the cocycle defined by $\sigma(t,t) = \Sigma_{p,q}(-1)^q e_{p,q} = y$. Then $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r, t^s) = (-1)^{jrs}$.
   $$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\triangleleft\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\triangleright\pi(c))\\ &= \tau(x^i(y^j\triangleleft t^r), x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{jrs}\\ &= \tau(x^{i+2rj}y^j, x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{jrs}\\ &= (-1)^{\delta_{j,1}\delta_{s,1}k} = (-1)^{j(k+r)s}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $F = \mathbb{Z}_2$, then $x\triangleright t = y\triangleright t = t$. Since $\langle x\triangleleft t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, e_{i+2j,j}\rangle = \delta_{1,i+2j}\delta_{0,j}$, we have that $x\triangleleft t = x$. Since $\langle y\triangleleft t, e_{ij}\rangle = \langle y, t\rightharpoonup e_{ij}\rangle = \langle y, e_{i+2j,j}\rangle = \delta_{0,i+2j}\delta_{1,j}$, we get that $y\triangleleft t = x^2y$.

The bicrossed product $F\bowtie\Gamma$'s structure:$(1,x)(t,1) = (t,1)(1,x) = (t,x)$; $(1,y)(t,1) = (y\triangleright t, y\triangleleft t) = (t, x^2y)$. That is

$$D_8 * \mathbb{Z}_4 = G_7 := \langle x, y, t \mid x^4 = y^2 = t^2 = 1, xy = yx, xt = tx, tyt = x^2y\rangle.$$

Case (b): $t \rightharpoonup e_{ij} = e_{-i,j}$, $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{kp+lq}e_{p,q} = x^{2k}y^l, 0 \le k,l \le 1$. In this case, the Singer pair forms the Hopf algebras $H_{k,l}$.

1. $H_{b:1} = H_{0,0} \cong H_{1,0}$.
   $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q} e_{p,q}$, so $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r, t^s) = 1$. Take $a = t^qx^iy^j, b = t^rx^ky^l, c = t^sx^my^n$, we have
   $$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\triangleleft\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\triangleright\pi(c))\\ &= \tau((x^i\triangleleft t^r)(y^j\triangleleft t^r), x^ky^l)(t^s)\\ &= \tau(x^{(-1)^ri}y^j, x^ky^l)(t^s)\\ &= (-1)^{jks}.\end{aligned}$$

2. $H_{b:y} = H_{0,1}$. $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q}(-1)^q e_{p,q} = y$, so $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r,t^s) = (-1)^{jrs}$. Henceforth,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))\\
&= \tau(x^i(y^j\lhd t^r),x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{jrs}\\
&= \tau(x^{(-1)^r i}y^j,x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{jrs}\\
&= (-1)^{\delta_{j,1}\delta_{s,1}k}(-1)^{jrs} = (-1)^{j(k+r)s}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. $H_{b:x^2y} = H_{1,1}$. $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{p+q}e_{p,q} = x^2y$, so $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r,t^s) = (-1)^{(i+j)rs}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))\\
&= \tau(x^i(y^j\lhd t^r),x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{(i+j)rs}\\
&= \tau(x^{(-1)^r i}y^j,x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{(i+j)rs}\\
&= (-1)^{\delta_{j,1}\delta_{s,1}k}(-1)^{(i+j)rs} = (-1)^{(jk+jr+ir)s}.
\end{aligned}$$

First, as above we have $x\rhd t = y\rhd t = t$. Since $\langle x\lhd t, e_{ij}\rangle = \langle x, t\rightharpoonup e_{ij}\rangle = \langle x, e_{-i,j}\rangle = \delta_{1,-i}\delta_{0,j}$, we have $x\lhd t = x^{-1}$. Since $\langle y\lhd t, e_{ij}\rangle = \langle y, t\rightharpoonup e_{ij}\rangle = \langle y, e_{-i,j}\rangle = \delta_{0,-i}\delta_{1,j}$, we have $y\lhd t = y$. The bicrossed product $F\bowtie\Gamma$'s structure: $(1,x)(t,1) = (x\rhd t, x\lhd t) = (t,x^{-1})$; $(1,y)(t,1) = (y\rhd t, y\lhd t) = (t,y)$. That is

$$D_8\times\mathbb{Z}_2 = G_8 := \langle x,y,t \mid x^4 = t^2 = y^2 = 1, tx = x^{-1}t\rangle.$$

Case (c): $t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j} = e_{i,i+j}$, $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}\theta^{kp}e_{p,q} = x^{1-k}(\frac{1+\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{2}x^2)$, where θ is a 4-th root of unity. The Singer pair forms the Hopf algebra H_k. First we have $\tau_{t^s}(x^iy^j,x^ky^l) = (-1)^{jks}$.

1. $H_{c:\sigma_0} = H_0$, $\sigma(t,t) = \frac{1+\theta}{2}x + \frac{1-\theta}{2}x^3$.
   And in this case, $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r,t^s) = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))\\
&= \tau((x^i\lhd t^r)(y^j\lhd t^r),x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\\
&= \tau(x^iy^{rj+j},x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\\
&= (-1)^{(ri+j)ks}(-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}} = (-1)^{\frac{2(ri+j)ks+i(i-1)}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. $H_{c:\sigma_1} = H_1$. $\sigma(t,t) = \sum_{p,q}(-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}}\theta^p e_{p,q} = \frac{1+\theta}{2} + \frac{1-\theta}{2}x^2$. $\sigma_{x^iy^j}(t^r,t^s) = (-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\theta^i$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))\\
&= \tau((x^i\lhd t^r)(y^j\lhd t^r),x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\theta^i\\
&= \tau(x^iy^{ri+j},x^ky^l)(t^s)(-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\theta^i\\
&= (-1)^{(ri+j)ks}(-1)^{\frac{i(i-1)}{2}}\theta^i = (-1)^{\frac{2(ri+j)ks+i(i-1)}{2}}\theta^i.
\end{aligned}$$

First, $x \triangleright t = y \triangleright t = t$. Since $\langle x \triangleleft t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, t \rightharpoonup e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, e_{i,i+j}\rangle = \delta_{1,i}\delta_{0,i+j}$, we have $x \triangleleft t = xy$. Since $\langle y \triangleleft t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle y, t \rightharpoonup e_{ij}\rangle = \langle y, e_{i,i+j}\rangle = \delta_{0,i}\delta_{1,i+j}$, we have $y \triangleleft t = y$.
The bicrossed product $F \bowtie \Gamma$'s structure:$(1,x)(t,1) = (x \triangleright t, x \triangleleft t) = (t, xy)$; $(1,y)(t,1) = (y \triangleright t, y \triangleleft t) = (t,y)$. That is

$$G_6 := \langle x, y, t \mid x^4 = y^2 = t^2 = 1, xy = yx, yt = ty, txt = xy\rangle.$$

Case II: $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle \times \langle z\rangle, F = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle t\rangle$.
Assume $e_{p,q,r} = \frac{1}{8}(1+(-1)^p x)(1+(-1)^q y)(1+(-1)^r z)(0 \le p,q,r \le 1)$ are dual basis in k^Γ, and $t \rightharpoonup e_{i,j,k} = e_{j,i,k}$.
Since $\widetilde{\tau}(t) = \sum_{ijkpqr}(-1)^{kp+kq}\xi_1^{jp} e_{i,j,k} \otimes e_{p,q,r}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_{t^s}(x^f y^g z^h, x^l y^m z^n) = \tau(x^f y^g z^h, x^l y^m z^n)(t^s)\\
&= \widetilde{\tau}(t^s)(x^r y^s z^t, x^l y^m z^n)\\
&= \sum_{ijkpqr}(-1)^{skp+kqs}\xi_1^{gls}\langle e_{i,j,k} \otimes e_{p,q,r}, x^f y^g z^h \otimes x^l y^m z^n\rangle\\
&= (-1)^{sh(l+m)}\xi_1^{gls}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(t,t) &= e_{0,0,0} + e_{1,0,0} + e_{0,1,0} + \xi_1 e_{1,1,0} + \iota(e_{0,0,1} + e_{1,0,1} + e_{0,1,1} + \xi_1 e_{1,1,1})\\
&= \sum \xi_1^{pq} e_{p,q,r} \sum \iota^r e_{p,q,r}\\
&= \frac{1}{2}(1 + x + y - xy)^{\delta_{\xi_1,1}\delta_{\iota,1}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_1, \iota = \pm 1$. $\tau_{t^s}(x^i y^j z^l, x^p y^q z^r) = \tau(x^i y^j z^l, x^p y^q z^r)(t^s) = (-1)^{ls(p+q)}$. The Singer pair forms Hopf algebra $H_{d:\xi_1,\iota}$.

1. $H_{d:1,1}$.

   $\sigma(t,t) = e_{0,0,0}+e_{1,0,0}+e_{0,1,0}+e_{1,1,0}+e_{0,0,1}+e_{1,0,1}+e_{0,1,1}+e_{1,1,1}$.

   So, $\sigma_{x^i y^j z^l}(t^r, t^s) = [\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}+\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{(i-1)+(j-1)+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{rs} = 1$.
   Take $a = t^p x^i y^j z^k, b = t^q x^l y^m z^n, c = t^r x^f y^g z^h$,

   $$\begin{aligned}
   &\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a) \triangleleft \pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b) \triangleright \pi(c))\\
   &= \tau((x^i \triangleleft t^q)(y^j \triangleleft t^q)z^k, x^l y^m z^n)(t^r)\\
   &= \tau(x^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(i-j)}{2}} y^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(j-i)}{2}} z^k, x^l y^m z^n)(t^r)\\
   &= (-1)^{kr(l+m)}.
   \end{aligned}$$

2. $H_{d:1,-1}$.

   $\sigma(t,t) = e_{0,0,0}+e_{1,0,0}+e_{0,1,0}+e_{1,1,0}-e_{0,0,1}-e_{1,0,1}-e_{0,1,1}-e_{1,1,1}$.

$\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^r,t^s)=[\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}+\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}-$
$\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+l,0}-\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{rs}$.

$\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c)=\tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))$
$=\tau((x^i\lhd t^q)(y^j\lhd t^q)z^k,x^ly^mz^n)(t^r)\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^q,t^r)$
$=\tau(x^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(i-j)}{2}}y^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(j-i)}{2}}z^k,x^ly^mz^n)(t^r)\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^q,t^r)$
$=(-1)^{kr(l+m)}[\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}+\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}$
$-\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+l,0}-\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{qr}$.

3. $H_{d:-1,1}$.

$\sigma(t,t)=e_{0,0,0}+e_{1,0,0}+e_{0,1,0}-e_{1,1,0}+e_{0,0,1}+e_{1,0,1}+e_{0,1,1}-e_{1,1,1}$.

$\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^r,t^s)=[\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}-\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}+$
$\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{rs}$.

$\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c)=\tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))$
$=\tau((x^i\lhd t^q)(y^j\lhd t^q)z^k,x^ly^mz^n)(t^r)\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^q,t^r)$
$=\tau(x^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(i-j)}{2}}y^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(j-i)}{2}}z^k,x^ly^mz^n)(t^r)\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^q,t^r)$
$=(-1)^{kr(l+m)+\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(j-i)}{2}lr}[\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}$
$-\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}$
$-\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{qr}$.

4. $H_{d:-1,-1}$.

$\sigma(t,t)=e_{0,0,0}+e_{1,0,0}+e_{0,1,0}-e_{1,1,0}-e_{0,0,1}-e_{1,0,1}-e_{0,1,1}+e_{1,1,1}$.

$\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^r,t^s)=[\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}-\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}-$
$\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+l,0}-\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{rs}$.

$\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c)=\tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b),p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b),p(b)\rhd\pi(c))$
$=\tau((x^i\lhd t^q)(y^j\lhd t^q)z^k,x^ly^mz^n)(t^r)\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^q,t^r)$
$=\tau(x^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(i-j)}{2}}y^{\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(j-i)}{2}}z^k,x^ly^mz^n)(t^r)\sigma_{x^iy^jz^l}(t^q,t^r)$
$=(-1)^{kr(l+m)+\frac{(i+j)+(-1)^q(j-i)}{2}lr}[\delta_{i+j+l,0}+\delta_{|i-1|+j+l,0}+\delta_{i+|j-1|+l,0}$
$-\delta_{i+j+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+l,0}-\delta_{i+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}-\delta_{|i-1|+j+|l-1|,0}$
$+\delta_{|i-1|+|j-1|+|l-1|,0}]^{qr}$.

First of all, $x\rhd t=y\rhd t=z\rhd t=t$. Since $\langle x\lhd t,e_{i,j,k}\rangle=\langle x,t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j,k}\rangle=\langle x,e_{j,i,k}\rangle=\delta_{1,j}\delta_{0,i}\delta_{0,k}$, we have $x\lhd t=y$. Since $\langle y\lhd t,e_{i,j,k}\rangle=\langle y,t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j,k}\rangle=\langle y,e_{j,i,k}\rangle=\delta_{0,j}\delta_{1,i}\delta_{0,k}$, we have $y\lhd t=x$, and similarly, $z\lhd t=z$.

The bicrossed product $F\bowtie\Gamma$'s structure: $(1,x)(t,1)=(x\rhd t,x\lhd t)=(t,y)$; $(1,y)(t,1)=(y\rhd t,y\lhd t)=(t,x)$, $(1,z)(t,1)=(z\rhd t,z\lhd t)=(t,z)$. That is

$$G_8=\langle xt,y,z\mid (xt)^4=y^2=z^2=1,y(xt)y=(xt)^3\rangle=D_8\times\mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Case III: $\Gamma = D_8 = \langle x, y \mid x^4 = y^2 = 1, yx = x^{-1}y\rangle, F = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle t\rangle$.

Assume $\{e_{p,q}\}_{\substack{0\le p\le 3,\\ 0\le q\le 1}}$ are dual basis in k^Γ, i.e. $\langle e_{p,q}, x^i y^j\rangle = \delta_{p,i}\delta_{q,j}$.

$X = \sum_{pq}(-1)^p e_{p,q}, Y = \sum_{pq}(-1)^q e_{p,q}$ are two group-like elements of order 2.

$\tau(x^r y^s, x^k y^l)(t) = \widetilde{\tau}(t)(x^r y^s, x^k y^l) = \sum_{ijpq}\xi^{jp}\langle e_{i,j}\otimes e_{p,q}, x^r y^s \otimes x^k y^l\rangle = \xi^{sk}$, where ξ is 4-th root of unity.

Case(B):$\sigma(t,t) = \sum(-1)^{kp+lq}e_{p,q} = X^k Y^l, 0 \le k, l \le 1$. $t \rightharpoonup e_{i,j} = e_{-i,j}$. $\tau_{t^s}(x^i y^j, x^k y^l) = \tau(x^i y^j, x^k y^l)(t^s) = \xi^{jks}$. The singer pair forms Hopf algebras $H_{X^k Y^l}$.

1. $H_{B:1} = H_{X^0 Y^0}$. $\sigma(t,t) = \sum e_{p,q}$, so $\sigma_{x^i y^j}(t^r, t^s) = 1$. Take $a = t^q x^i y^j, b = t^r x^k y^l, c = t^s x^m y^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\rhd\pi(c))\\ &= \tau_{t^s}(x^i y^j, x^k y^l) = \tau(x^{(-1)^{ir}} y^j, x^k y^l)\\ &= \xi^{jks}.\end{aligned}$$

2. $H_{B:x} = H_{X^1 Y^0}$.
$\sigma(t,t) = \sum(-1)^p e_{p,q}$, so $\sigma_{x^i y^j}(t^r, t^s) = \sigma(t^r, t^s)(x^i y^j) = (-1)^{irs}$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\rhd\pi(c))\\ &= \tau_{t^s}(x^i y^j, x^k y^l) = \tau(x^{(-1)^{ir}} y^j, x^k y^l)(-1)^i\\ &= (-1)^{irs}\xi^{jks}.\end{aligned}$$

$x\rhd t = y\rhd t = t$. $\langle x\lhd t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, e_{-i,j}\rangle = \delta_{1,-i}\delta_{0,j}$, so $x\lhd t = x^{-1}$; $\langle y\lhd t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle y, t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle y, e_{-i,j}\rangle = \delta_{0,-i}\delta_{1,j}$, then we have $y\lhd t = y$.

The bicrossed product $F\bowtie\Gamma$'s structure:$(1,x)(t,1) = (x\rhd t, x\lhd t) = (t, x^{-1})$; $(1,y)(t,1) = (y\rhd t, y\lhd t) = (t,y)$. That is

$$D_8\times\mathbb{Z}_2 = G_8 := \langle x, t, yt \mid x^4 = t^2 = (yt)^2 = 1, tx = x^{-1}t\rangle.$$

Case(C): $\sigma(t,t) = \sum\nu^{kp}e_{p,q} = \frac{1+Y}{2} + \frac{\nu^k(1-Y)}{2}, 0\le k\le 1$, ν is a 4-th root of unity. $t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j} = e_{-i+j,j}$. The Singer pair forms Hopf algebra H_k: First of all, $\tau_{t^s}(x^i y^j, x^k y^l) = \tau(x^i y^j, x^k y^l)(t^s) = \xi^{jk\delta_{s,0}} = \xi^{jks}$.

1. $H_{C:1} = H_0$. For $\sigma(t,t) = \sum e_{p,q}$, we have $\sigma_{x^i y^j}(t^r, t^s) = 1$. Thus, as the before, take $a = t^q x^i y^j, b = t^r x^k y^l, c = t^s x^m y^n$, we have $\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\rhd\pi(c)) = \xi^{jks}$.
2. $H_{C:\sigma_1} = H_1$.
As $\sigma(t,t) = \sum\nu^p e_{p,q}$, then $\sigma_{x^i y^j}(t^r, t^s) = \nu^{i\delta_{rs,1}} = \nu^{irs}$.
$\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a)\lhd\pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b)\rhd\pi(c)) = \xi^{jks}\nu^{irs}$.

$x\rhd t = y\rhd t = t$. $\langle x\lhd t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle x, e_{-i+j,j}\rangle = \delta_{1,-i+j}\delta_{0,j}$, so $x\lhd t = x^{-1}$; $\langle y\lhd t, e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle y, t\rightharpoonup e_{i,j}\rangle = \langle y, e_{-i+j,j}\rangle = \delta_{0,-i+j}\delta_{1,j}$, then we have $y\lhd t = xy$.

The bicrossed product $F \bowtie \Gamma$'s structure: $(1,x)(t,1) = (x \triangleright t, x \triangleleft t) = (t, x^{-1})$; $(1,y)(t,1) = (y \triangleright t, y \triangleleft t) = (t, xy)$. That is

$$D_{16} = G_3 := \langle ty, y \mid (ty)^8 = y^2 = 1, (ty)y = y(ty)^{-1} \rangle.$$

Case IV: $\Gamma = Q_8 = \langle x, y \mid x^4 = 1, y^2 = x^2, yx = x^{-1}y \rangle, F = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle t \rangle$.

Assume $\{e_{p,q}\}_{\substack{0 \le p \le 3, \\ 0 \le q \le 1}}$ are dual basis in k^Γ, dual to the basis $\{x^p y^q\}$, $\widetilde{\tau}(t) = \sum_{ijpq} e_{i,j} \otimes e_{p,q}$, $t \rightharpoonup e_{i,j} = e_{-i+j,j}$ for Hopf algebra H_E. Then

$$\sigma(t,t) = 1,$$
$$\tau(x^r y^s, x^k y^l)(t) = \widetilde{\tau}(t)(x^r y^s, x^k y^l) = \sum_{ijpq} \langle e_{i,j} \otimes e_{p,q}, x^r y^s \otimes x^k y^l \rangle = 1,$$
$$x \triangleright t = y \triangleright t = t, \quad x \triangleleft t = x^{-1}, \quad y \triangleleft t = xy.$$

Therefore, $\forall a, b, c \in F \bowtie \Gamma$, we have
$\omega(\tau,\sigma)(a,b,c) = \tau_{\pi(c)}(p(a) \triangleleft \pi(b), p(b))\sigma_{p(a)}(\pi(b), p(b) \triangleright \pi(c)) = 1$,
i.e. the 3-cocycle $\omega(\tau,\sigma)$ is trivial.

And the bicrossed product $F \bowtie \Gamma$'s structure: $(1,x)(t,1) = (x \triangleright t, x \triangleleft t) = (t, x^{-1})$; $(1,y)(t,1) = (y \triangleright t, y \triangleleft t) = (t, xy)$. That is

$$SD_{16} = G_2 := \langle ty, t \mid (ty)^8 = t^2 = 1, t(ty)t = (ty)^3 \rangle.$$

Next, we determine the fiber functors on group-theoreticial fusion category $\mathcal{C}(F \bowtie \Gamma, \omega(\tau,\sigma), F, 1)$.

Note that $F = \langle t \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ in our cases, the requirement that the 2-cocycle $\alpha|_{L \cap F} \beta^{-1}|_{L \cap F}$ is non-degenerate, implies that $L \cap F = 1$. Thus we have an exact factorization $G = LF$ and L is of order 8.

Hence, it is sufficient to find all subgroups L of order 8 such that $L \cap F = 1$ and $\omega|_{L \times L \times L} = 1$. Note that L is a normal subgroup of $F \bowtie \Gamma$, the conjugacy class of L is itself and thus we can take $g = 1$, $\Omega_g = 1$. Then we only need to compute all the 2-cocycles $\beta \in H^2(L, k^*)$. In conclusion, the equivalence classes of fiber functors are determined by (L, β).

Lemma 3.1. *Subgroups of order* 8 *contained in the above mentioned non-abelian groups of order* 16*, which have trivial intersection with* $F = \langle t \rangle$ *are:*

Case I: ***Case*** **(*a*)***:*** $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$, $\langle x \rangle \times \langle ty \rangle$; $D_8 : \langle xt, y \rangle$, $Q_8 : \langle xt, yt \rangle$.

Case **(*b*)***:*** $D_8 : \langle x, yt \rangle$; $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$; $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x^2 \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \times \langle tx \rangle$.

Case **(*c*)***:*** $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$, $\langle tx \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$.

Case II: $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle xt \rangle \times \langle z \rangle$; $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle \times \langle z \rangle$; $D_8 : \langle (xt)z, yz \rangle$, $\langle (xt)z, y \rangle$.

Case III: ***Case*** **(*b*)***:* $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x \rangle \times \langle yt \rangle$; $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 : \langle x^2 \rangle \times \langle tx \rangle \times \langle yt \rangle$; $D_8 : \langle x, y \rangle$, $\langle x(yt), y \rangle$.

Case **(*c*)***:* $\mathbb{Z}_8 : \langle ty \rangle$; $D_8 : \langle x, y \rangle$.

Case IV: $\mathbb{Z}_8 : \langle ty \rangle$; $Q_8 : \langle x, y \rangle$.

Next, we need to find all the Schur multiplier, which are already known:

Proposition 3.2 ([Kar]). *The Schur multiplier sets $H^2(G, k^*)$ of groups G of order 8 are : $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_8, k^*) = H^2(Q_8, k^*) = 0$, $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, k^*) = H^2(D_8, k^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $H^2(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, k^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Theorem 3.3. *Let H be any semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1], the number of Galois objects of H^* is given as follows:*

(1). *There are two Galois objects for $(H_{a:1})^*$, $(H_{b:1})^*$, $(H_{c:\sigma_0})^*$, $(H_{c:\sigma_1})^*$, $(H_E)^* \cong H_{B:X}$, $(H_{B:1})^* \cong H_{C:1}$, $(H_{B:X})^* \cong H_E$, $(H_{C:1})^* \cong H_{B:1}$, $(H_{C:\sigma_1})^* \cong H_{C:\sigma_1}$.*

(2). *There are five Galois objects for $(H_{a:y})^*$.*

(3). *There are eight Galois objects for $(H_{d:1,1})^* \cong H_{d:1,1}$, $(H_{d:-1,1})^* \cong H_{d:-1,1}$, $(H_{d:1,-1})^* \cong H_{b:1}$, $(H_{d:-1,-1})^* \cong H_{c:\sigma_1}$.*

(4). *There are ten Galois objects for $(H_{b:y})^*$, $(H_{b:x^2y})^*$.*

Proof. As said before, we only need to find all the subgroups L of order 8 such that $L \cap F = 1$ and $\omega_{|L\times L\times L} = 1$.

Case I: Case (a):

In the case $(a1)$, the 3-cocycle $\omega(\tau, \sigma)(t^q x^i y^j, t^r x^k y^l, t^s x^m y^n) = (-1)^{jks}$. After a direct computation, $L = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, Thus by Proposition 3.2, there are 2 Galois objects for $(H_{a:1})^*$.

In case $(a2)$: the 3-cocycle $\omega(\tau, \sigma)(t^q x^i y^j, t^r x^k y^l, t^s x^m y^n) = (-1)^{j(k+r)s}$. After a direct calculation, $L = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle$, $\langle xt, y\rangle$ or $\langle xt, yt\rangle$. Thus there are 5 Galois objects on $(H_{a:y})^*$.

Case (b): In case $(b1)$: $L = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle$. Thus there are 2 Galois objects for $(H_{b:1})^*$.

In case $(b2)$ and $(b3)$: $L = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle$ or $\langle x^2\rangle \times \langle y\rangle \times \langle tx\rangle$. Thus there are 10 Galois objects for $(H_{b:y})^*$ and $(H_{b:x^2y})^*$.

Case (c): In both subcases of $(c1)$ and $(c2)$, $L = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle$. Thus there are 2 Galois objects for Hopf algebras $(H_{c:\sigma_0})^*$ and $(H_{c:\sigma_1})^*$ respectively.

Case II: In all subcases of (II), $L = \langle x\rangle \times \langle y\rangle \times \langle z\rangle$. Thus there are 8 Galois objects for $(H_{d:1,1})^* \cong H_{d:1,1}$, $(H_{d:-1,1})^* \cong H_{d:-1,1}$, $(H_{d:1,-1})^* \cong H_{b:1}$, $(H_{d:-1,-1})^* \cong H_{c:\sigma_1}$ respectively.

Case III: In this two subcases, $L = \langle x, y\rangle$. Thus there are 2 Galois objects for $(H_{B:1})^* \cong H_{C:1}$, $(H_{B:X})^* \cong H_E$, $(H_{C:1})^* \cong H_{B:1}$, $(H_{C:\sigma_1})^* \cong H_{C:\sigma_1}$.

Case IV: In this case, the 3-cocycle $\omega(\tau, \sigma)$ is trivial and whence $L = \langle ty\rangle$ or $\langle x, y\rangle$. Thus there are 2 Galois objects for $(H_E)^* \cong H_{B:X}$.

□

4 Cocycle deformations of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16

In this section, we determine the cocycle deformations of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1]. First, we determine all Drinfeld twist equivalence classes.

Lemma 4.1. *Semisimple Hopf algebras $H_{a:1}$, $H_{a:y}$, $H_{b:y}$, $H_{b:x^2y}$, $(H_{c:\sigma_1})^*$, and $(H_{b:1})^*$ have different Frobenius-Schur indicators values sets, thus they are pairwise Drinfeld twist inequivalent.*

Proof. Here we will use the irreducible representations of semisimple Hopf algebras given in [Ka] to calculate higher Frobenius-Schur indicators. The second indicators of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1] have been computed in [KMM]. However, it is not enough to distinguish all the Drinfeld twist equivalence classes, so we need to compute the higher Frobenius-Schur indicators.

After a tedious computation, the third Frobenius-Schur indicators are not sufficient to distinguish them, and we omit them since the expression of the Sweedler power of the integral is too long. The fourth Sweedler power of integral is

$$\Lambda^{[4]} = \begin{cases} \frac{3+y}{4}, & H = H_{a:1} \text{ or } H_{a:y}; \\ \frac{3}{2}, & H = H_{b:y}; \\ 1, & H = H_{d:1,-1}; \\ \frac{1+xy}{2}, & H = H_{d:-1,-1}. \end{cases}$$

For $H_{a:1}$, the higher indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = -1$, $\nu_2(\chi_2) = 1$; $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$; $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 1$.

For $H_{a:y}$, the indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = 1$; $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$; $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 1$.

For $H_{b:y}$, the indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = 1$, $\nu_2(\chi_2) = -1$; $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$; $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 3$.

For $H_{b:x^2y}$, the indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = -1$.

For $(H_{c:\sigma_1})^* = H_{d:-1,-1}$, the indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = 1$; $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = \nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 0$,

For $(H_{b:1})^* = H_{d:1,-1}$ the indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = 1, \nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$, $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 2$. □

Since the higher Frobenius-Schur indicators and Grothendieck rings are gauge invariants of semisimple (quasi-)Hopf algebras, we have

Theorem 4.2. *Let H and K be any two non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1], then H and K are not Drinfeld twist equivalent.*

Proof. From the second Frobenius-Schur indicators' values of the 2-dimensional irreducible representations, we obtain six twist inequivalence classes:

$$\{H_{a:1}, H_{b:1}, H_{B:X}\}, \{H_{b:x^2y}\}, \{H_{c:\sigma_0}, H_{c:\sigma_1}\}, \{H_{C:1}, H_{C:\sigma_1}\},$$
$$\{H_E\}, \{H_{b:y}, H_{a:y}, H_{B:1}, H_{d:1,1}, H_{d:-1,1}, H_{d:1,-1}, H_{d:-1,-1}\}.$$

For the classes $\{H_{a:1},\ H_{b:1},\ H_{B:X}\}$, $\{H_{c:\sigma_0}, H_{c:\sigma_1}\}$, $\{H_{C:1}, H_{C:\sigma_1}\}$, Grothendieck rings are different and whence they are pairwise twist inequivalent.

For the last class, by the isomorphic classes of the Grothendieck rings, we obtain three inequivalent classes: $\{H_{B:1}\}$, $\{H_{b:y}, H_{a:y}, (H_{b:1})^*, (H_{c:\sigma_1})^*\}$, $\{H_{d:-1,1},\ H_{d:1,1}\}$. By Lemma 4.1, there are different indicators on 2-dimensional irreducible representations for the Hopf algebras in the second class, hence they are pairwise twist inequivalent. As for the third class, note that $H_{d:1,1} = k[D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]^J$, $H_{d:-1,1} = H_8 \otimes k[\mathbb{Z}_2]$, $H_{d:1,-1} \cong (H_{b:1})^*$, $H_{d:-1,-1} \cong (H_{c:\sigma_1})^*$, and $k[D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]^J$ is triangular while $H_8 \otimes k[\mathbb{Z}_2]$ is not triangular, obviously they are not twist equivalent. □

Next, we determine the cocycle deformations of the semisimple Hopf algebras listed in [Ka, Table 1]. As semisimple Hopf algebras with the same Grothendieck ring are differed by a 2-pseudo-cocycle, we need to distinguish a 2-pseudo-cocycle is a Drinfeld twist or not.

Lemma 4.3. *Semisiple Hopf algebras $H_{a:1}$, $H_{a:y}$, $H_{b:y}$, $H_{b:x^2y}$, $(H_{c:\sigma_1})^*$, and $(H_{b:1})^*$ are not the Drinfeld twist deformations of the group algebra $k[G_5]$ or $k[G_6]$.*

Proof. Since $Gr(G_5) = Gr(G_6)$, we need to take them into consideration. For group $G_5 := \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^4 = 1, ba = a^{-1}b\rangle$. There are two self-dual 2-dimensional irreducible representations π_1, π_2 with characters χ_1, χ_2 given by

$$\pi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\pi_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta \\ \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_2(b) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

where θ is a 4-th root of unity and the integral element $\Lambda = \frac{1}{16}\sum_{i,j=0}^{3} a^i b^j$. After a direct computation, the higher Frobenius-Schur indicators are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = 1, \nu_2(\chi_2) = -1$; $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \frac{-\theta}{4}, \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$; $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 2$.

For group $G_6 := \langle a,\ b,\ c \mid a^4 = b^2 = c^2 = 1,\ bab = ac\rangle$. There are two self-dual 2-dimensional irreducible representations $\pi_1,\ \pi_2$ with characters $\chi_1,\ \chi_2$ given by,

$$\pi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\pi_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\theta \\ \theta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_2(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and integral element $\Lambda = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{0\le i\le 3\ 0\le j,k\le 1} a^i b^j c^k$. After a direct computation, the higher Frobenius-Schur indicators of group algebra $k[G_6]$ are: $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = 1$; $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$; $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 2$.

The higher Frobenius-Shur indicators of the semisimple Hopf algebras mentioned in Lemma 4.3 are different from G_5's and G_6's, thus they are not the Drinfeld twist deformations of G_5 or G_6. □

Lemma 4.4. *Hopf algebra $H_{c:\sigma_1}$ with Grothendieck ring $Gr(G_7)$ is not a Drinfeld twist deformation of group algebra $k[G_7]$.*

Proof. For group $G_7 := \langle a, b, c \mid a^4 = b^2 = c^2 = 1,\ cbc = a^2b\rangle$. There are two 2-dimensional irreducible representations $\pi_1,\ \pi_2$, which are not self-dual, and $\pi_1^* = \pi_2,\ \pi_2^* = \pi_1$, with matrix representations

$$\pi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\pi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \pi_1(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the two representations are not self-dual, we have $\nu_2(\chi_1) = \nu_2(\chi_2) = 0$ by Theorem 2.12.

After a direct computation, we obtain that $\Lambda^{[3]} = \frac{1}{16} \sum\limits_{0 \le i \le 3} a^i(1 + b + c + a^2bc)$, and $\Lambda^{[4]} = 1$. Thus the indicators are: $\nu_3(\chi_1) = \nu_3(\chi_2) = 0$, $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 2$.

For $H_{c:\sigma_1}$, the second and the third indicators of both representations are zero, but the fourth Sweedler power $\Lambda^{[4]} = \frac{1}{4}(4 + t^4 + (xt)^4)$. Since $xt = txy$, we have $(xt)^4 = t^4$ and $t^4 = \sigma(t,t)^2 = x^2$ and whence $\Lambda^{[4]} = \frac{1}{2}(2 + x^2)$. Then the indicators are $\nu_4(\chi_1) = \nu_4(\chi_2) = 1$, since $\rho_1(x) = \rho_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 0 \\ 0 & \theta \end{pmatrix}$, where θ is a 4-th primitive root of unity, ρ_1, ρ_2 denote the unique two 2-dimensional irreducible representations of $H_{c:\sigma_1}$. □

Theorem 4.5. *Except Hopf algebras $H_E \cong k[G_2]^J,\ H_{C:1} \cong k[D_{16}]^J$ and $H_{d:1,1} \cong k[D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]^J$, the semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16 listed in [Ka, Table 1] are not twist equivalent to a group algebra.*

Proof. From [Ka, Table 1], there are 7 isomorphism classes of Grothendieck rings, that is, $Gr(G_1)$, $Gr(G_2)$, $Gr(G_5)$, $Gr(G_7)$, $Gr(D_{16})$, $Gr(D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and the only non-commutative fusion ring $K_{5,5}$. Moreover, $H_E \cong k[G_2]^J,\ H_{C:1} \cong k[D_{16}]^J$ and $H_{d:1,1} \cong k[D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]^J$. Then we show that the Hopf algebras H listed in [Ka, Table 1] except H_E, $H_{C:1}$ and $H_{d:1,1}$ are not twist deformations of groups. Recall that H is a twisting of G_i if $Gr(H) \cong Gr(G_i)$ for a group G_i, thus it is enough to show that H is not twist equivalent to $k[G_i]$.

If $H = H_{c:\sigma_0}$, then $Gr(H) \cong Gr(G_1)$, where $G_1 := \langle a, b \mid a^8 = b^2 = 1,\ ba = a^5b\rangle$. After a direct computation, we found the 3-rd indicators are insufficient to distinguish them. For $k[G_1]$, $\Lambda^{[4]} = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=0}^{7} a^{4i} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + a^4)$, and then the fourth indicators of the 2-dimensional representations are zero, since $\pi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 \\ 0 & -\xi \end{pmatrix}$ and $\pi_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\xi^3 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively where ξ is a 8-th primitive root of unity and π_1, π_2 denote the unique two 2-dimensional irreducible representations of $H_{c:\sigma_0}$. However, for Hopf algebra $H_{c:\sigma_0}$, $\Lambda^{[4]} = \frac{3}{2}$ and the fourth indicators are 3. Therefore, $H_{c:\sigma_0}$ is not twist equivalent to $k[G_1]$.

If $H = H_{C:\sigma_1}$, then $Gr(H) \cong Gr(D_{16}) = Gr(Q_{16})$. $H_{C:\sigma_1}$ is not twist equivalent to $k[D_{16}]$ or $k[Q_{16}]$. Indeed, $H_{C:1}$ is the only twist deformation

of $k[D_{16}]$, and $k[Q_{16}]$ does not have any non-trivial twist deformation [Ma2].

If $H = H_{b:1}$, $H_{d:-1,1}$, or $H_{d:1,1}$, then $Gr(H) \cong Gr(D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong Gr(Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Since the second indicators of $H_{b:1}$ are -1 and 1 respectively, and the second indicators of $D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, Q_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ are 1, $H_{b:1}$ is not a Drinfeld twist deformation of them. For $H_{d:-1,1} \cong H_8 \otimes k\mathbb{Z}_2$, it has been stated in Theorem 4.2.

If $H = H_{B:1}$ or $H_{B:X}$, then $Gr(H) \cong K_{5,5}$. H is not a twist deformation of a group algebra. Indeed, the fusion ring $K_{5,5}$ is not commutative.

Combined with the lemma 4.3 and 4.4, the proof is completed. □

Remark 4.6. *In fact, the case $H_{d:-1,1}$ has been proved in [NS1], which showed that Hopf algebra H_8, $k[Q_8]$ and $k[D_8]$ have different indicators sets, as any representation of H_8 is a representation of $H_{d:-1,1}$.*

Using the classification of triangular semisimple Hopf algebras [EG], we have:

Corollary 4.7. *Except for Hopf algebras H_E, $H_{C:1}$ and $H_{d:1,1}$, the semisimple Hopf algebras listed in [Ka, Table 1] are not triangular.*

Proposition 4.8. *Semisimple Hopf algebras $H_{B:1}$, $H_{B:X}$, $H_{d:1,1}$ have exactly one non-trivial 2-cocycle deformation.*

Proof. Recall that $H_E = k[G_2]^{J_1}$, $H_{C:1} = k[D_{16}]^{J_2}$, $H_{B:X} \cong H_E^*$ and $H_{B:1} \cong H_{C:1}$, then $H_{B:X} = (k^{G_2})^\sigma$ and $H_{B:1} = (k^{D_{16}})^\mu$ for some non-trivial 2-cocycles σ, μ. Note that $H_{B:1}$, $H_{B:X}$ both have only two Galois objects in Theorem 3.3, thus there is exactly one non-trivial 2-cocycle deformations on $H_{B:1}$ and $H_{B:X}$.

As for $H_{d:1,1} \cong k[D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]^J$, $H_{d:1,1} \cong (k^{D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2})^\sigma$ for a non-trivial 2-cocycle σ since it is self-dual. By Theorem 4.5, among the three Hopf algebras with Grothendieck ring $Gr([D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2])$, $H_{d:1,1}$ is the only Drinfeld twist of $k[D_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2]$, which in consequently means $H_{d:1,1}$ only has one non-trivial 2-cocycle deformation. □

The following theorem is our main result concerning cocycle deformations:

Theorem 4.9. *The Hopf algebras $H_{B:1}$, $H_{B:X}$, $H_{d:1,1}$ have exactly one nontrivial cocycle deformation, and other semisimple Hopf algebras in [Ka, Table 1] have only trivial cocycle deformations.*

Proof. It's enough to show that any of Hopf algebras is not monoidally Morita equivalent to any other Hopf algebras.

By Theorem 4.2, semisimple Hopf algebras in [Ka, Table 1] are pairwise twist inequivalent; And by Theorem 4.5, the Hopf algebras listed in [Ka, Table 1] except $H_{C:1}$, H_E, $H_{d:1,1}$, are not twist equivalent to group algebras, and equivalently their dual Hopf algebras are not cocycle deformations of dual group algebras. Then we completed the proof. □

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to appreciate the continued encouragement and help from their PhD supervisor Professor Naihong Hu. The authors are also grateful to Professor Chelsea Walton for her valuable suggestions and comments on earlier version of the manuscript.

References

[CMNVW] A.-M. Castano, S. Montgomery, S. Natale, M.-D. Vega, C. Walton, Cocycle deformations and Galois objects for semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 and pq^2, arXiv:1610.03810v1.

[DrGNO] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, Group-theoretical properties of nilpotent modular categories, arXiv:0704.0195.

[EG] P. Etingof and S. Gelaki, Some properties of finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras, Math. Res. Lett. **5** (1998), no. 1-2, 191-197.

[EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, Tensor categories, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **205** (2015).

[ENO1] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, On fusion categories, Ann.of Math. **162** (2005), no. 2, 581-642.

[ENO2] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories, Adv. Math. **226**, (2011), 176-205.

[EV] M. Enock, L. Vainerman, Deformation of the Kac algebra by an abelian subgroup, Comm. Math. Phys, **178** (1996), 571-596.

[LM] V. Linchenko and S. Montgomery, A Frobenius-Schur theorem for Hopf algebras, Algebras Represent. Theory **3** (2000), 347-355.

[K] G.-I. Kac, Extensions of groups to ring groups, Math. USSR Sb. **5**, (1968), 451-474.

[Ka] Y. Kashina, Classification of semisimple Hopf algebras of dimension 16, J. Algebra **232**, (2000) 617-663.

[Kar] G. Karpilovsky, The Schur multiplier, (1987), Oxford University press.

[KMM] Y. Kashina, G. Mason, and S. Montgomery, Computing the Frobenius-Schur indicator for abelian extensions of Hopf algebras, J. Algebra **251**, (2002) 888-913.

[KSZ] Y. Kashina, Y. Sommerhäuer, and Y. Zhu, On higher Frobenius-Schur indicators, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **181** (2006).

[Ma1] A. Masuoka, Self dual Hopf algebras of dimension p^3 obtained by extension, J. Algebra **178**, (1995), 791-806.

[Ma2] A. Masuoka, Cocycle deformations and Galois objects for some cosemisimple Hopf algebras of finite dimension, Contem. Math **267** (2000).

[Ma3] A. Masuoka, Hopf algebra extensions and cohomology, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 43 (2002), 167C209.

[MN] G. Mason and S.-H. Ng, Central invariants and Frobenius-Schur indicators for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras, Adv. Math **190**, (2005), 161-195.

[Na1] S. Natale, On group theoretical Hopf algebras and exact factorizations of finite groups, J. Algebra **270**, (2003), 199-211.

[Na2] S. Natale, On the equivalence of module categories over a group-theoretical fusion category, arXiv:1608.04435.

[Ni] D. Nikshych, K_0-rings and twisting of finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras, Comm. Algebra **26**, no. 1 (1998), 321-342; Erratum: K_0-rings and twisting of finite-dimensional semisimple Hopf algebras, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), no. 4 , 1347.

[NS1] S.-H. Ng and P. Schauenburg, Central invariants and higher indicators for semisimple quasi-Hopf algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 4, 1839-1860.

[NS2] S.-H. Ng and P. Schauenburg, Frobenius-Schur indicators and exponents of spherical categories. Adv. Math **211** (2007), 34-71.

[O1] V. Ostrik, Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants, Transform. Groups, **8** (2003), 177-206 .

[O2] V. Ostrik, Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2003** (2003), no. 27, 1507-1520.

[S1] P. Schauenburg, Hopf bi-Galois extensions, Comm. Algebra **24** (1996), 3797-3825.

[Ul] K.-H. Ulbrich, Galois extensions as functors of comodules, Manuscr. Math. **59** (1987), 391-397.